ATLAS EXPERIMENT





A step towards a theory of everything

Elliot Reynolds, Chamberlain Fellow, LBNL

A note: I will pause for questions, but please also feel free to shout out

The path here

Hometown, and early education

- Shrewsbury
- The Grange Secondary School
- Shrewsbury Sixth Form College







- The **BIG** questions
 - How did the universe begin?
 - Where is it going?
 - Theory of everything?



- The **BIG** questions
 - How did the universe begin?
 - Where is it going?
 - Theory of everything?
- Amazing facts
 - E=mc²



- The **BIG** questions
 - How did the universe begin?
 - Where is it going?
 - Theory of everything?
- Amazing facts
 - E=mc²
 - Time dilation





- The **BIG** questions
 - How did the universe begin?
 - Where is it going?
 - Theory of everything?
- Amazing facts
 - E=mc²
 - Time dilation
 - The double slit experiment



- The **BIG** questions
 - How did the universe begin?
 - Where is it going?
 - Theory of everything?
- Amazing facts
 - E=mc²
 - Time dilation
 - The double slit experiment
- Feeling that my most basic understanding of reality was wrong

- The **BIG** questions
 - How did the universe begin?
 - Where is it going?
 - Theory of everything?
- Amazing facts
 - E=mc²
 - Time dilation
 - The double slit experiment
- Feeling that my most basic understanding of reality was wrong
- "Reductionism" (more to come!)

Undergraduate degree

- Most researchers complete two or more degrees
 - One (mostly) to learn about the topic
 - And one (mostly) to learn how to research the topic
- My undergraduate degree was a 4 year Master's degree in Physics at University College, Oxford



Postgraduate degree

- My postgraduate degree was a 4 year PhD at the University of Birmingham
- I spent ~1.5 years at CERN, in France/ Switzerland





Postdoc

- Most graduates who want to stay on in academia do one or more "postdocs"
- Mine was also at the University of Birmingham
 - I was there for another two years
 - This may be somewhat unusual in the US
- Postdocs still work for an advisor, but are usually more independent



Fellowship

- I am now a Chamberlain Fellow at LBNL
- Fellowships are like postdocs, but often more independent, and not always with an advisor



A long journey (so far)!







Any questions?

Basic concepts ... and why they're important

• Take this (simplified) description of a human being:

Human



Organs (+ blood, bones etc.)



• Take this (simplified) description of a human being:

Organs





Cells











Reductionism

 Thus, a human is ~entirely made up of electrons, up quark, and down quarks



Reductionism

• But so is almost everything else:









Heavier cousins (except possibly for the neutrino)





Even heavier cousins (except possibly for the neutrino again...)

Interactions/forces

• Particle physics is not just about what the world is made of, it is also about how it works



Interactions/forces

- Particle physics is not just about what the world is made of, it is also about how it works
- It describes how reality functions on the most fundamental level through things called "interactions" or "forces"





Richard Feynman

Interactions/forces

- Particle physics is not just about what the world is made of, it is also about how it works
- It describes how reality functions on the most fundamental level through things called "interactions" or "forces"
- Reality is nothing but particles and their interactions, so in principle the reason for <u>EVERYTHING</u> must reduce to particle physics
 - This is what is meant by a **Theory of Everything**!

The force carriers



Gluon

•

- Mediates the strong force
- Holds nuclei
 together
- Responsible for most LHC collisions
- SU(3) symmetry

The force carriers



Photon

٠

- Mediates the EM force
- Responsible for
 Chemistry,
 Biology, and
 almost
 everything
 around us!
- U(1) symmetry
The force carriers



- W and Z bosons
- Mediates the weak force
- Only massive
 gauge bosons
- Responsible for radioactive decay
- SU(2) symmetry

The Standard Model



The Standard Model

- The 'Lagrangian' contains all the laws of physics in the Standard Model all the particles and all the forces
- Particle physicists try to discover the Lagrangian of our universe

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{8} tr(\mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{W}^{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{2} tr(\mathbf{G}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{G}^{\mu\nu})$$
(U(1), SU(2) and SU(3) gauge terms)
+ $(\bar{\nu}_L, \bar{e}_L) \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu} i D_{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix} + \bar{e}_R \sigma^{\mu} i D_{\mu} e_R + \bar{\nu}_R \sigma^{\mu} i D_{\mu} \nu_R + (h.c.)$ (lepton dynamical term)
 $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} \left[(\bar{\nu}_L, \bar{e}_L) \phi M^e e_R + \bar{e}_R \bar{M}^e \bar{\phi} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix} \right]$ (electron, muon, tauon mass term)
 $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} \left[(-\bar{e}_L, \bar{\nu}_L) \phi^* M^{\nu} \nu_R + \bar{\nu}_R \bar{M}^{\nu} \phi^T \begin{pmatrix} -e_L \\ \nu_L \end{pmatrix} \right]$ (neutrino mass term)
+ $(\bar{u}_L, \bar{d}_L) \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu} i D_{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ d_L \end{pmatrix} + \bar{u}_R \sigma^{\mu} i D_{\mu} u_R + \bar{d}_R \sigma^{\mu} i D_{\mu} d_R + (h.c.)$ (quark dynamical term)
 $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} \left[(\bar{u}_L, \bar{d}_L) \phi M^d d_R + \bar{d}_R \bar{M}^d \bar{\phi} \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ d_L \end{pmatrix} \right]$ (down, strange, bottom mass term)
 $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} \left[(-\bar{d}_L, \bar{u}_L) \phi^* M^u u_R + \bar{u}_R \bar{M}^u \phi^T \begin{pmatrix} -d_L \\ u_L \end{pmatrix} \right]$ (up, charmed, top mass term)
 $+ (\bar{D}_\mu \phi) D^\mu \phi - m_h^2 [\bar{\phi} \phi - v^2/2]^2 / 2v^2.$ (Higgs dynamical and mass term) (1)

But what is missing?



But what is missing?

• GRAVITY!

- General relativity used at the moment, but it is not quantum mechanical

Graviton?

... What else?

... What else?

26.8% Dark Matter 68.3% Dark Energy 4.9% Ordinary Matter



 WIMPs (Weakly Interacting Massive Particles)



... Anything else?

... Anything else?



Other questions/problems

• 26 free parameters!

Other questions/problems

- 26 free parameters!
- Why three generations? And why do they get heavier?



Other questions/problems

- 26 free parameters!
- Why three generations? And why do they get heavier?
- Where did all the anti-matter go?



The Large Hadron Collider



 LHC is 27 km in circumference, to (eventually) get to energies of 14 TeV



- LHC is 27 km in circumference, to (eventually) get to energies of 14 TeV
- E=mc²



- LHC is 27 km in circumference, to (eventually) get to energies of 14 TeV
- E=mc²
- E=k_BT

Time Machine



- LHC is 27 km in circumference, to (eventually) get to energies of 14 TeV
- E=mc²
- E=k_BT
- Δx~hc/4πE



Any questions?

2 minute stretch break

My research Probing the interaction strength of the Higgs boson to the charm quark

The ATLAS detector



• A **NEW** fundamental particle and interaction



- A **NEW** fundamental particle and interaction
- Electroweak symmetry breaking



- A **NEW** fundamental particle and interaction
- Electroweak symmetry breaking
- Generates mass



- A **NEW** fundamental particle and interaction
- Electroweak symmetry breaking
- Generates mass
- Non-zero vacuum expectation value



- A **NEW** fundamental particle and interaction
- Electroweak symmetry breaking
- Generates mass
- Non-zero vacuum expectation value
- Only spin-0 particle

- A **NEW** fundamental particle and interaction
- Electroweak symmetry breaking
- Generates mass
- Non-zero vacuum expectation value
- Only spin-0 particle
- Completes the Standard Model



1. Searching for Higgs boson decays to non–Standard Model particles

	FILISTORE REVIEW LETTERS 123, 221802 (2020)
PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY SPINKER RECEIVED: Fobrium 12, 2018 REVENCE: Fobrium 12, 2018 REVENCE: May 7, 2018	Search for Higgs Boson Decays into a Z Boson and a Light Hadronically Decaying Resonance Using 13 TeV pp Collision Data from the ATLAS Detector
PUBLISHED: June 29, 2018	G. Aad <i>et al.</i> * (ATLAS Collaboration)
	Received 7 April 2020; accepted 9 October 2020; published 25 November 2020)
Search for Higgs boson decays to beyond-the-Standard-Model light bosons in four-lepton events with the ATLAS detector at $\sqrt{s}=13~{ m TeV}$	A send for Higgs born or days (in x 2 hours and a light measure in two-levels and light measures in two-levels at x (i ~ i) i) TxV by the ATLAS experiment at the CERN LHC. The measures and limitary is the two with a mass below 4 CeV from a possible extracted calls setter or a characteristic days (in the characteristic days) distribution of the CERN LHC. The measures of the CERN LHC. The measures of the light resonance. No cacces of events also with a respectively call of the characteristic days (in the characteristic days) the characteristic days (in the characteristic days) and the characteristic days (in the characteristic days) the signal measures of the light resonance. No cacces of events also with the respectively (in the characteristic days) the signal measures (in the days) is in the range (17-30) ² / ₂ (in the characteristic days) becomes and with also call the and the days (in the days) (in the days) for the <i>m</i> , and <i>J</i> / <i>y</i> by polyters at the values of 110 and 100 (b) (100 ² / ₂ and 100 ² / ₂ (b) of the the range of the days).
Exercises of the second	The structure of the standard model (SN) and structure the structure of the standard model (SN) and structure the structure of the structure o
Overs Acourse Copyright CSIRN. for the bundle of the ATLAS Collaboration. Article funded by SCOAP ² .	and 2017. Summing of 201007. We min significant and (217 - 221) of 2(27 - 221) of

- 1. Searching for Higgs boson decays to non–Standard Model particles
- 2. Probing the interaction strength between the Higgs boson and the charm quark

PHYSICAL REVIEW LET	TERS 120, 211802 (2018)		
Search for the Decay of the Higgs Boson to C	harm Quarks with the ATLAS Experiment		
M. Aabot	id et al."		
(ATLAS Co	llaboration)		
(Received 14 February 2)	18; published 22 May 2018)		
A direct surch for the standard model Higgs bos Associated production of the Higgs and Z bosons, in this with an integrated luminosity of 36.1 kb ⁻¹ of pp c experiment at the LHC is used. The $H \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ signatus observed (expended) upper limit on $e_1pp \rightarrow ZH$ yes level for a Higgs boson mass of 125 GeV, while the	va decaying to a pair of charm quarks is presented. the docay mode $2M = e^+e^+e^-e^-$ is added A data set ellibistics at $\sqrt{3} = 13$ TeV recorded by the ATLAS to is destributed on generating algorithms. The $(H \to cb) \approx 27 (32)^{+1}_{-1}(1)$ to at the 55% confidence standard model values is 26 fb.		
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.211802			
In by 2015, the XLAA and CAB calculations in an assumed to the lower of a new particle with a mass of spectrum encoder the lowers of a new particle with a mass of spectrum encoder to the lower of a new particle with a mass of spectrum encoder of the lower of the l	(14.20). Bounds on the Higgs bound standing distances to another off that its area of the s global met counting $Q(d \to c) < 20$ m at the 90 °C (1), assuming MM prob- order large modifications to the Higgs bound coupling to a standing the standing standing standing standing standing area of the investeed in investigation of the coupling of the Higgs standing standing standing standing standing standing area of the investeed in investigation of the coupling of the Higgs standing standing standing standing standing standing standing area of the standing standing standing standing standing standing standing proved [33]. As the standing s		•••
'Full author list given at the end of the article.	and background sample. Signal events were produced at next-to-leading order (NLO) for the $a\bar{a} \rightarrow ZH$ process and		
Palithind by the American Physical Society under the tensor of the Greatine Commons Antibation 4.0 International Recent. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the authority and the published article's title, Journal chanico, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP ¹ .	at leading order (LO) for the $y_0 \rightarrow ZH$ process with Powers-BOX v2 [32]. The dominant Z + jets background and the resonant diboson ZW and ZZ processes were generated using SHERM 221 [54]. The <i>it</i> background was		
0031-9007/18/120(21)/211802(20) 2118	0 2018 CERN, for the ATLAS Collaboration		
		_	

- 1. Searching for Higgs boson decays to non–Standard Model particles
- 2. Probing the interaction strength between the Higgs boson and the charm quark
- 3. Probing the interaction strength between the Higgs boson and itself



- 1. Searching for Higgs boson decays to non–Standard Model particles
- 2. Probing the interaction strength between the Higgs boson and the charm quark
- 3. Probing the interaction strength between the Higgs boson and itself
- 4. Work on the upgrade of the ATLAS detector



- 1. Searching for Higgs boson decays to non–Standard Model particles
- 2. Probing the interaction strength between the Higgs boson and the charm quark
- 3. Probing the interaction strength between the Higgs boson and itself
- 4. Work on the upgrade of the ATLAS detector
- 5. Machine learning



- 1. Searching for Higgs boson decays to non–Standard Model particles
- 2. Probing the interaction strength between the Higgs boson and the charm quark
- 3. Probing the interaction strength between the Higgs boson and itself
- 4. Work on the upgrade of the ATLAS detector
- 5. Machine learning

Why the charm quark?

• Ad hoc: no explanation of masses, and no underlying symmetry principle

Why the charm quark?

- Ad hoc: no explanation of masses, and no underlying symmetry principle
- Why three generations? And why do they get heavier?



Why the charm quark?

- Ad hoc: no explanation of masses, and no underlying symmetry principle
- Why three generations? And why do they get heavier?
- Not sure how yet...


- Ad hoc: no explanation of masses, and no underlying symmetry principle
- Why three generations? And why do they get heavier?
- Not sure how yet...



- Ad hoc: no explanation of masses, and no underlying symmetry principle
- Why three generations? And why do they get heavier?
- Not sure how yet...



- Ad hoc: no explanation of masses, and no underlying symmetry principle
- Why three generations? And why do they get heavier?
- Not sure how yet...



- Ad hoc: no explanation of masses, and no underlying symmetry principle
- Why three generations? And why do they get heavier?
- Not sure how yet...



- Ad hoc: no explanation of masses, and no underlying symmetry principle
- Why three generations? And why do they get heavier?
- Not sure how yet...



- Ad hoc: no explanation of masses, and no underlying symmetry principle
- Why three generations? And why do they get heavier?
- Not sure how yet...



- Ad hoc: no explanation of masses, and no underlying symmetry principle
- Why three generations? And why do they get heavier?
- Not sure how yet...



- Ad hoc: no explanation of masses, and no underlying symmetry principle
- Why three generations? And why do they get heavier?
- Not sure how yet...



- Ad hoc: no explanation of masses, and no underlying symmetry principle
- Why three generations? And why do they get heavier?
- Not sure how yet...



- Ad hoc: no explanation of masses, and no underlying symmetry principle
- Why three generations? And why do they get heavier?
- Not sure how yet...



- Ad hoc: no explanation of masses, and no underlying symmetry principle
- Why three generations? And why do they get heavier?
- Not sure how yet...
- Lots of theories beyond the Standard Model predict values of the interaction strength that disagree with the Standard Model

- Ad hoc: no explanation of masses, and no underlying symmetry principle
- Why three generations? And why do they get heavier?
- Not sure how yet...
- Lots of theories beyond the Standard Model predict values of the interaction strength that disagree with the Standard Model
- To find the **Theory of Everything!!!**

- There are lots of ways...
- The most direct ways are to measure physics processes that include the interaction

- There are lots of ways...
- The most direct ways are to measure physics processes that include the interaction
- You can measure the production:



- There are lots of ways...
- The most direct ways are to measure physics processes that include the interaction
- You can measure the production:



- There are lots of ways...
- The most direct ways are to measure physics processes that include the interaction
- You can measure the production
- You can also measure the decay:



Look for Higgs bosons produced in association with a Z boson



- Look for Higgs bosons produced in association with a Z boson
- Z boson decays to leptons are used to trigger the events



- Look for Higgs bosons produced in association with a Z boson
- Z boson decays to leptons are used to trigger the events
- Reject massive background from jets



- Look for Higgs bosons produced in association with a Z boson
- Z boson decays to leptons are used to trigger the events
- Reject massive background from jets
- Categorise events based on Z boson transverse momentum



- Particles containing charm quarks often drift away from the proton–proton interaction point before decaying
 - This leaves a distinctive signature in the inner tracking detector



- Particles containing charm quarks often drift away from the proton–proton interaction point before decaying
 - This leaves a distinctive signature in the inner tracking detector
- Machine learning used to tag charm jets



- Particles containing charm quarks often drift away from the proton–proton interaction point before decaying
 - This leaves a distinctive signature in the inner tracking detector
- Machine learning used to tag charm jets
- Performance:
 - c-jet efficiency = 41%
 - Light flavour-jet rejection = 20
 - *b*-jet rejection = 4.0



- Particles containing charm quarks often drift away from the proton–proton interaction point before decaying
 - This leaves a distinctive signature in the inner tracking detector
- Machine learning used to tag charm jets
- Performance:
 - c-jet efficiency = 41%
 - Light flavour-jet rejection = 20
 - *b*-jet rejection = 4.0
- 1 and 2 charm-tag categories used



Results



PRL 120 (2018) 211802



$\sigma < 110 \text{ x } \sigma_{\text{SM}}$

(95% confidence level)

• This was the first search of its kind

- This was the first search of its kind
- New searches have since been performed by <u>ATLAS</u> and <u>CMS</u>

- This was the first search of its kind
- New searches have since been performed by <u>ATLAS</u> and <u>CMS</u>
- Still some way from Standard Model sensitivity

- This was the first search of its kind
- New searches have since been performed by <u>ATLAS</u> and <u>CMS</u>
- Still some way from Standard Model sensitivity
- Will likely ultimately need to use both Higgs boson decays to charm quarks, and H+c production

- This was the first search of its kind
- New searches have since been performed by <u>ATLAS</u> and <u>CMS</u>
- Still some way from Standard Model sensitivity
- Will likely ultimately need to use both Higgs boson decays to charm quarks, and H+c production
- Hopefully more to come on H+c production very soon...

If you want to know more

- Find more information on the <u>CERN</u> and <u>ATLAS</u> websites
- See also the <u>ATLAS</u> and <u>U.S. ATLAS</u> outreach websites
- Keep up-to-date with many of the latest developments with the <u>ATLAS Physics Briefings</u>
- Also keep an eye out for the <u>International Masterclass</u>, and any upcoming <u>ATLAS Virtual Visits</u>
- "Overview for Non-Physicists" section of my PhD thesis
- And much more...

Thanks for listening! Any questions?